UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,094	12/11/2003	Charles Starnes	5000.002	1587
29633 ROGERS TOW	7590 12/09/200 /ERS, P.A.	EXAMINER		
1301 RIVERPLACE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
JACKSONVILLE, FL 32207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/735,094	STARNES, CHARLES					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin T. Truong	3734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	ctoher 2007						
	<i>/</i> <b>—</b>						
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13-15 and 17-22</u> is/are pend	4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13-15 and 17-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-11, 13-15, and 17-22</u> is/are reje	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
5, <u> </u>							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·— ·— ·—							
<u> </u>							
_ , , ,							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachusessta							
Attachment(s)  A) Mission of References Cited (RTO 800)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/735,094 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Note: This is in response the Applicant's remarks filed 08/01/2008.

## Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 10/12/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773.

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/735,094 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-15, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita (US 5385571) in view of Stanners (US 5403288).

Morita discloses a lancet body (12) having a needle tip (16) projecting from the distal end of said body (12); a generally cylindrical cap (14) connected to said needle tip (16) by a frangible junction (in other words, cap (14) is integrally molded with lancet body (1)); wherein said cap (14) having at least one tab member projecting therefrom, an opening (22 and 38 as shown in fig. 9) sized for engagement with the lancet distal end (at 20) and an interior axially projecting hub (at 42) for receiving the needle tip (16) (see fig. 12); the opening (22 and 38 as shown in fig. 9) can be facing in distal direction away from the lancet body or being coaxially aligned with the shaft. However, Morita lacks an interior projecting post disposed within the opening of the cap, whereby the needle piercing tip is embedded with the interior axially projecting post in the pre-use configuration.

Stanners teaches in figures 26 and 27, that it is known in the art to have interior projecting post (141) disposed within the opening of the cap(140), whereby the needle piercing tip (100) is embedded with the interior axially projecting post (141) in the preuse configuration.

For this reason, it would have an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Morita's cap having an interior projecting post disposed within the opening of the cap, whereby the needle piercing tip is embedded with the interior axially projecting post as taught by Stanners in order to maintain a clean needle end prior to use.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734 Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734 Application/Control Number: 10/735,094

Page 6

Art Unit: 3734